

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/686,450		10/15/2003	William M. Bishop	717664.11	6862	
27128	7590	06/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BLACKWE	ELL SAN	NDERS PEPER MA	DRAKE, N	DRAKE, MALIK N		
720 OLIVE				ARTIBUT	DAREN AND COUR	
SUITE 2400				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. LOUIS, MO 63101				3744		

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Lambda \Lambda \Lambda$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-MA/
	10/686,450	BISHOP ET AL.	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Malik N. Drake	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	∋ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	October 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) The Tild Tild Tild Tild Tild Tild Tild Tild	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` <i>'</i>	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	·152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•	received in this National Sta	age
application from the International Bure		t an anti-rad	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	: receivea.	
Attachmont/c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ \ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No((s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/15/03</u>. 	5) Notice of 1 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/686,450

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bishop et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,511,905), hereinafter Bishop.

The invention of Bishop discloses a liquefied natural gas (LNG) terminal comprising a mooring/docking facility (see figure 1) for at least one LNG ship (14); a first stage pumping system (which transfers LNG from the ship to a second stage; see column 4, lines 64-67); a second stage pumping system (19); a conventional vaporizing system (30); and a salt cavern (62).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop.

The disclosure of Bishop has been previously discussed. Bishop lacks specific disclosure of the various types of vaporizers claimed by Applicant.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the "conventional" vaporizing system comprise any type of vaporizer (including those claimed by Applicant) because Applicant has not disclosed that any of the claimed vaporizers provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any type of known vaporizer because any vaporizer would perform the function of transforming LNG into its gaseous form.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Malik Drake at telephone number (703) 305-0249 and/or fax number (703) 872-9306. The Examiner's work schedule is 9:30am – 8:00pm, Monday through Thursday.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700